



\$ 2871

S&H Form: (01/03)

REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL	Attorney Docket No.	1185.1047
	Application Number	09/330,016
	Filing Date	June 11, 1999
	First Named Inventor	Hiroshi Yamazaki et al.
	Group Art Unit	2871
AMOUNT ENCLOSED		Examiner Name Dung Nguyen

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 01/01/03)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	9	- 20 =	0	X \$ 18.00 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	3	- 3 =	0	X \$ 84.00 =	0.00

Since an Official Action set an original due date of December 12, 2003, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$410); 3 months (\$930); 4 months (\$1,450); 5 months (\$1,970)):

930.00

If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$320)

If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)

Total of above Calculations =

\$ 930.00

Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)

TOTAL FEES DUE =

\$ 2,930.00

(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".

(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".

(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".

(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- ☐ Check enclosed as payment.
- ☒ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.
- ☐ No payment is enclosed and no charges to the Deposit Account are authorized at this time (unless specifically required to obtain a filing date).

GENERAL AUTHORIZATION

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to:

Deposit Account No.

19-3935

Deposit Account Name

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

- ☒ The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Typed Name	Mark J. Henry	Reg. No.	36,162
Signature	<i>Mark J. Henry</i>	Date	March 12, 2003

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a)
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231
on March 12, 2003
STAAS & HALSEY LLP
By: Manant
Date: 3-12-03

RECEIVED
MAR 24 2003
10:30 AM MAIL ROOM



Docket No.: 1185.1047

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Hiroshi Yamazaki et al.

Serial No. 09/330,016

Group Art Unit: 2871

Confirmation No. 8878

Filed: June 11, 1999

Examiner: Dung Nguyen

For: SURFACE LIGHT SOURCE DEVICE OF SIDE LIGHT TYPE, LIQUID CRYSTAL
DISPLAY AND GUIDE PLATE

AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed September 12, 2002, and having a period for response set to expire on December 12, 2002. A Petition for a three-month extension of time, together with the requisite fee for same, is submitted herewith, thereby extending the period for response to March 12, 2003.

The following amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

03/21/2003 HVUONG1 00000059 193935 09330016

01 FC:1253 930.00 CH

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 5,887,964 to Higuchi et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,771,328 to Wortman et al. In the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner admits that Higuchi et al. does not disclose an emission face having light scattering elements with a rough area having a roughness smaller than that of the light scattering elements. Indeed, referring to Figs. 1 and 4 of Higuchi et al., the emission face of the guide plate appears to be smooth.

The Examiner cites Wortman et al. for the deficiencies. However, as discussed below, Wortman et al. does not compensate for the deficiencies in Higuchi et al.

First, it would not have been obvious to use the prisms of Wortman et al. on the light

#17
Shange
3-27-03

RECEIVED
MAR 24 2003
TC 2800 MAIL ROOM